



# **Planning Commission Update**

February 22, 2018





- 1. Project purpose
- 2. Draft Bicycle and Pedestrian System
  - a) Gaps
  - b) Network
- 3. Next steps





# Background

- 2030 Transportation Plan guides walking and biking investment
- Walking and biking is transportation for residents who do not drive
  - Age (too young or too old)
  - Ability to own a private vehicle
  - Health conditions
  - Personal choice

Dakota County Demographics

- 47,000 over age 65
- 125,000 + seniors in 2040
- 86,000 children 5-18
- 7,000 households without a car
- 3,000 people walk-bike to work
- 30,000 people below the poverty level



# **Project Scope**

- Prioritize gaps and barriers
- Define future system
- Consider new facility types, such as on road bike lanes, on lower speed, lower volume roadways
- Recommend policies to support walking and biking



**Plan Need** 

- Dakota County 2040 Comprehensive Plan
  update
- Dakota County 2040 Transportation Plan update (anticipated in 2019)







# Variety of Users







Image used Pedbikeimages.org





Image used under CC by-SA 2.0 license by Chris Hunkeler



# **Draft Bicycle and Pedestrian Network**

- Existing System
- Ped-Bike Demand and Gap Prioritization
- Planned Network
- RBTN

The County will integrate pedestrian and bicycling modes into the transportation system to provide for safe, timely, and efficient connections between communities, activity generators, and employment centers

**Existing System** 

- Off-road, multi use trails are current practice in urban/suburban contexts
  - Safe
  - Provide for both peds and bikes
  - Most comfortable for many people
- 350 miles of trails and sidewalks
- Bikeable shoulders in rural areas



# Daketa

# **Top Challenges**

- **1.** System continuity filling the gaps
- **2.** Barriers and crossings
- **3.** On-road bicycle facilities
- 4. City / County cost share
- **5.** Maintenance
- 6. Lighting
- 7. Support facilities
- 8. Other challenges???

Benches and trees would make walking better

There aren't a whole lot of sidewalks in Burnsville and sometimes we end up walking in the road Would like to see better winter maintenance to make the system more functional year-round

Bikes should be separated from cars

# **Pedestrian and Bicycle Gaps**

- 49 miles are pedestrian and bicycle gaps (no trail or sidewalk)
- 14 miles are bicycle gaps (sidewalk present)
- 34 miles do not have trails or sidewalks on either side of the road





# **Gap prioritization**

- **1.** Population density
- 2. Age under 18 or over 65
- 3. Households without vehicles
- 4. Transit route
- 5. Part of Regional Bicycle Transportation Network
- 6. Employment density
- 7. Services/shopping proximity
- 8. School proximity

- 9. Traffic volume
- **10.** Posted speed
- **11.** Number of lanes
- 12. System continuity presence of trails and sidewalks

**Pedestrian Gaps** 

- 49 miles of pedestrian gaps in urban/suburban area (no trail or sidewalk)
- Highest priority gaps in the northern portion of the County



# **Bicycle Gaps**

- 63 miles of trail gaps in urban/suburban area
- Nearly 250 miles of paved roads in rural areas
- 45% have shoulders that support bicycling





# Filling the gaps

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITY CONTEXTUAL GUIDANCE						
PREFERRED FACILITIES	STREET CLASS	LAND USE	POSTED SPEED LIMIT	TRAFFIC VOLUMES		
SIDEPATH/TRAIL	COLLECTOR ARTERIAL	URBAN- SUBURBAN/ RURAL CENTERS	ALL	ALL		
SIDEWALK* ●●●●	LOCAL COLLECTOR ARTERIAL	URBAN- SUBURBAN/ RURAL CENTERS	LOWER	ALL		
	COLLECTOR ARTERIAL	RURAL**	ALL	LOWER		

#### LEGEND



\*On roadways with higher traffic volumes and speeds, a sidepath/trail can serve both walking and bicycling

\*\*Shoulders can supplement sidepaths/trails in urban areas Source: AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities

- Trails are the standard in urban and suburban context
- Where not practical, consider:
  - Sidewalks
  - Alternate routes
  - On road facilities for cyclists
- Shoulders on rural construction and resurfacing projects



# **On-Road Bicycle Facilities**

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITY CONTEXTUAL GUIDANCE					
ON-STREET FACILITIES TO CONSIDER*	STREET CLASS	LAND USE	POSTED SPEED LIMIT	TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
BIKE LANE	COLLECTOR ARTERIAL	URBAN- SUBURBAN/ RURAL CENTERS	LOWER	LOWER	
BUFFER SEPARATED BIKE LANE	COLLECTOR ARTERIAL	URBAN- SUBURBAN	LOWER	LOWER- MODERATE	
BARRIER SEPARATED BIKE LANE	COLLECTOR ARTERIAL	URBAN- SUBURBAN	LOWER- MODERATE	MODERATE	

#### LEGEND



\*When preferred facilities are not practical and alternate routes are not practical.

> Source: AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities

 Consider the use of on-road bicycle facilities where all of the following conditions exist:

- An off-road multiuse trail is not practical or feasible.
- An alternate route is not available.
- The on-road facility is part of an identified system.
- State Aid Standards can be met.

# Planned Pedestrian System (draft)





# Planned Bicycle System (draft)





# **Regional Bicycle Transportation Network**

• County will continue to work improve pedestrian and bicycle facilities on the RBTN







# NEXT STEPS

- March: Planning Commission Update *review best practices, strategies, policies recommended for consideration as part of the 2040 comprehensive plan update*
- April: Physical Development Committee of the Whole Update
- May: Prepare Draft Plan

# **QUESTIONS?**



# **Bike Lanes**

- A portion of the roadway or shoulder designated for exclusive or preferential use by bicycles
- Identified by pavement markings (and sometimes signs)
- Suitable for roadways with speeds 35 mph or lower: 29 miles (7%) of Dakota County highways





# **Buffered Bike Lanes**

- Bike lane separated from automobile traffic by buffer pavement markings
- Provides greater comfort on higher speed or traffic roadways: up to 40 mph and 25,000 ADT
- Feel safer to people biking than standard bike lanes\*
- 55 miles (13%) of County highways are 40 mph or less
   \*Portland State University, Center for Transportation Studies. (2011). Evaluation of Innovative Bicycle

\*Portland State University, Center for Transportation Studies. (2011). <u>Evaluation of Innovative Bicycle</u> <u>Facilities: SW Broadway Cycle Track & SW Stark/Oak Street Buffered Bike Lanes FINAL REPORT</u>.



# **Separated Bike Lanes**

- Vertical element separates bike lane from automobile lane(s)
  - On-street parking
  - Barrier median or planters
  - Barrier curb
  - Bollards
- Often accompanied by exclusive bicycle traffic control devices (signals)
- Suitable for roads with higher traffic volumes but constraints to constructing an off-street path

